ANTINOCICEPTIVE, SEDATIVE, AND CARDIOPULMONARY EFFECTS OF INTRAVENOUS LIDOCAINE IN ONE-HUMPED CAMELS (Camelus dromedarius)

A.I. Almubarak

College of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Resources, Camel Research Centre King Faisal University, Al-Hassa, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

Intravenous (IV) 3 mg kg-1 lidocaine was evaluated in 7 healthy camels. Baseline nociceptive threshold, sedation score, respiratory rate, heart rate, arterial blood pressure (ABP) and rectal temperature were determined, and further recorded at 5, 10, 20, 40, 60 minutes after treatment. Some haematology and biochemistry parameters were assessed at baseline, and at 10, 60 minutes after treatment. There was significant effect on nociceptive threshold at all time points compared to baseline, and significant increase in sedation score between 5 and 40 minutes. Respiratory rate, heart rate, and rectal temperature did not differ significantly, while diastolic ABP increased significantly at 10 minutes after treatment. There was no significant difference in all haematological and biochemical measured parameters. These results showed that IV lidocaine was safe, useful in providing antinociception and sedation, and coupled with minimal cardiovascular effects in camels.

Key words: Antinociception, camel, lidocaine, sedation

Lidocaine, which is commonly used as a local anaesthetic, has also been used IV as part of combination anaesthetic techniques to complement general anaesthesia in domestic animals (Vesal et al, 2011). It has been used intravenously (IV) to reduce the requirement for injectable and inhalant anaesthetics in horses, calves, goats, and dogs (Muir et al, 2003; Doherty et al, 2007; Vesal et al, 2011; Mannarino *et al*, 2012), and to provide post operative analgesia in conscious horses and dogs (Smith et al, 2002; Torfs et al, 2009). The antinociceptive or sedative effects of IV lidocaine alone have not been examined thoroughly when administered preoperatively or in conscious healthy animals. When the IV lidocaine was administered in healthy conscious cats, it provided no effect on thermal antinociception (Pypendop et al, 2006). Similar results were found in dogs (MacDougall et al, 2009) but were associated with mild to moderate sedation, and some signs of toxicity. The objective of this study was to evaluate the antinociceptive and sedative effects of single bolus of IV lidocaine, and to observe the effects on heart rate, respiratory rate, systemic arterial blood pressure, and some haematology and biochemistry parameters in camels.

Materials and Methods

Seven healthy dromedary camels of two breeds, 5 Shoael and 2 Majaheem, 5 males and 2 females, with mean age \pm SD 4.8 \pm 1.8 years, and weight 455 ± 72.9 kg were used for this study. Food, but not water, was withheld for 24 hours before trials. Camels were restrained manually in sternal recumbency at least three hours before start of trials. Baseline sedation score, nociceptive threshold, heart rate (manually by a stethoscope), respiratory rate (counting thoracic movements), and rectal temperature (electronic thermometer) were assessed. Baseline indirect blood pressure values were assessed by oscillography using a cuff placed around the base of the tail and connected to a patient monitor (Infinity Delta XL, Drager Medical, Germany). These parameter values were further recorded at 5, 10, 20, 40, 60 minutes after treatment. After baseline data were obtained, all camels received IV lidocaine (Lidocaine Hydrochloride USP, Pharmaceutical Solution Industry, Saudi Arabia) at 3 mg kg⁻¹ through jugular vein over one minute. Sedation was scored using a 4-point scale (0 = no sedation with normal movement; 1 = mild sedation: slightly decreased movement and reduced eye alertness; 2 = moderate

SEND REPRINT REQUEST TO A.I. ALMUBARAK email: aimubarak@kfu.edu.sa

sedation: moderately decreased movement and resistance to handling; 3 = deep sedation: markedly decreased movement and no resistance to handling) based on a previously published scoring system in camel (Marzok and El-Khodery, 2009). Nociceptive threshold was obtained using a visual analogue scale (VAS) with 0 representing no pain and 10 representing the worst pain possible (Mathews, 1996). Nociceptive threshold was tested by application of Kocher "1:2 teeth" artery forcep (Albert Waeschle Ltd. Dorset, UK) to skin areas of perineal, tarsus, thigh, and abdomen. Positive nociceptive responses to the stimuli were defined as purposeful avoidance movements of head, neck, trunk, limbs, tail; contracture of the anus and turning of the head towards the stimulation site (Dehkordi et al, 2012). Two blinded assessors, who were familiar with the camel's normal behaviour, were responsible for assessing sedation and response to the nociceptive stimulus throughout the study.

Blood samples (14 ml) were taken at baseline, 10 and 60 minutes after lidocaine administration. They were collected from the jugular vein via disposable syringes and divided into EDTA tubes for haematologic evaluation, and to plain tubes without anticoagulant for the biochemical analysis. For haematological evaluation, each tube was inverted 2-3 times to ensure thorough mixing, and analysed within 2 hours using an automated haematology analyser (VetScan HM2, Abaxis Veterinary Diagnostics, USA) for total erythrocyte count (RBC), haemoglobin (HB), haematocrit (HCT), white blood cell count (WBC), lymphocytes (LY), monocytes (MO), neutrophil (NE), mean cell volume (MCV), and platelet count (PLT). For biochemical analysis, serum was harvested by centrifugation and stored at -80 °C until analysed by automatic analyser (VetScan VS2, Abaxis Veterinary Diagnostics, USA) for albumen (ALB), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), amylase (AMY), urea nitrogen (BUN), calcium (CA), phosphorus (PHOS), creatinine (CRE), glucose (GLU), sodium (NA+), potassium (K+), total protein (TP), and globulin (GLOB).

Statistical analysis was performed using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure (SAS Institute Inc, Cary NC USA, 2002). Data presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise stated. Data was calculated and tested for the significance using 't' test. Moreover, arc sine transformation was done to percentage data. P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Table 1. Shows that mean respiratory rate, heart rate and rectal temperature did not differ significantly as compared to baseline. Mean arterial blood pressure measurements did not differ significantly as compared to baseline, except significant increase of diastolic arterial pressure (DAP) at 10 minutes after lidocaine administration. Median (range) of sedation scores and nociceptive threshold are presented in table 2. There was significant increase in sedation score between 5 and 40 minutes compared with baseline, and there was significant effect on

Table 1. Mean values ± SD of respiratory rate (fR) breaths minute -1, heart rate (HR), beats minute -1, mean arterial blood pressure (MAP), systolic arterial blood pressure (SAP), and diastolic arterial blood pressure (DAP) at the baseline (BL), and at 5-60 minutes after lidocaine administration.

Variables	BL	5	10	20	40	60
fR	22 ± 4.2	19.7 ± 4.3	18 ± 4.9	20.7 ± 2.1	19.3 ± 3	9.1 ± 3.7
HR	52.6 ± 9.9	53.7 ± 12.5	52.6 ± 9.4	51.1 ± 8.6	50.6 ± 10.9	49.4 ± 9.1
Temp. °C	37.3 ± 0.5	37.3 ± 0.4	37.6 ± 1.1	37.3 ± 0.3	37.2 ± 0.4	37.1 ± 0.4
MAP mmHg	130.9 ± 11.6	147 ± 30.3	143.4 ± 15.3	144.9 ± 16.9	128.6 ± 16.3	140.7 ± 27.5
SAP mmHg	171 ± 20.1^{a}	170.7 ± 30^{a}	176.6 ± 24.8^{a}	189.6 ± 28.6^{ab}	161.1 ± 21.2^{ac}	173.3 ± 30.8^{a}
DAP mmHg	$101.6 \pm 16.9^{\rm ac}$	114.1 ± 16.4^{a}	120.1 ± 16.3^{ab}	116.1 ± 16.5^{a}	105.7 ± 16^{a}	117.6 ± 23.4^{a}

^{abc} Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

Table 2. Median (range) of sedation scores and nociceptive threshold at the BL, and at 5-60 minutes after lidocaine administration.

Variable	Time						
variable	BL	5	10	20	40	60	
sedation score	0(0-0) ^a	3 (2-3) ^b	2 (1.5-3) ^c	2 (0-2) ^d	1 (0-1.5) ^e	0 (0-1.5) ^a	
nociceptive threshold	10(10-10) ^a	2.5 (1-4.5) ^b	3.5(0-4.5) ^b	3.4 (0-6) ^c	4.5 (3-6) ^d	6.7 (4-7.5) ^d	

^{abcd} Medians in row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

nociceptive threshold at all time points compared to baseline. Table 3 shows the haematological parameters, while table 4 shows serum biochemistry parameters, with no significant difference could be detected in all measured parameters as compared to baseline.

Parameters	Time				
rarameters	Baseline	10	60		
RBC (cells/µl)	11.2 ± 1.4	10.7 ± 0.7	11.5 ± 1.1		
HB (g/dL)	14.4 ± 1.1	14.3 ± 0.6	13.6 ± 0.7		
HCT (%)	30.3 ± 3.0	28.9 ± 2.1	31.7 ± 4.4		
WBC (g/L)	17.1 ± 3.9	16.7 ± 3.8	17.7 ± 3.6		
LY (%)	33.9 ± 6.3	33.4 ± 6.2	31.9 ± 5.8		
MO (%)	4.5 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 1.1	3.8 ± 1.1		
NE (%)	61.7 ± 6.8	62.7 ± 7.1	64.2 ± 6.4		
MCV (fl)	27.4 ± 1.3	27.0 ± 1.0	27.9 ± 1.6		
PLT (g/dL)	137.1 ± 42.9	176.7 ± 75.5	137.9 ± 53.7		
abada a state		11.00	1 1.00		

Table 3. Haematological parameters (mean ± SD) at baseline,10 and 60 minutes after lidocaine administration.

^{abcd} Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).

Table 4. Serum biochemistry (mean ± SD) at baseline, 10 and
60 minutes after lidocaine administration.

Parameters	Time				
rarameters	Baseline	10	60		
ALB (g/dL)	4.2 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.5	4.5 ± 0.2		
ALP (U/L)	129.9 ± 22.3	131.3 ± 37.3	125.1 ± 52.1		
ALT (U/L)	17.6 ± 2.1	19.0 ± 3.1	17.7 ± 2.8		
AMY (U/L)	565.9 ± 82.1	595.1 ± 68.0	587.7 ± 63.6		
BUN (mg/dL)	15.9 ± 3.2	16.6 ± 4.8	16.4 ± 2.9		
CA (mg/dL)	9.7 ± 0.6	9.9 ± 0.9	9.9 ± 0.8		
PHOS (mg/dL)	9.5 ± 1.5	9.9 ± 1.8	10.2 ± 2.2		
CRE (mg/dL)	1.4 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.2		
GLU (mg/dL)	88.6 ± 28.1	97.4 ± 39.7	83.2 ± 49.2		
NA+ (mmol/L)	140.6 ± 13.3	148.3 ± 16.1	148.7 ± 7.0		
K+ (mmol/L)	7.9 ± 1.0	7.9 ± 0.9	8.1 ± 0.7		
TP (g/dL)	6.5 ± 0.7	6.8 ± 0.7	6.8 ± 0.3		
GLOB (g/dL)	2.2 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.3	2.4 ± 0.3		

^{abcd} Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05).</p>

Discussion

The mechanism of action for lidocaine's analgesic and sedative effects is poorly understood (Smith *et al*, 2004; Vesal *et al*, 2011). It has been documented that the final analgesic action of IV lidocaine is a reflection of its multifactorial action, and it has been suggested that its central sensitisation

ry result on the blockade of central hyperexcitability (Lauretti, 2008). Whatever the mechanism, there is to considerable evidence for the efficacy of lidocaine infusion in providing analgesia in a number of species and situations (Vesal *et al*, 2011). The pharmacokinetics of IV lidocaine in camels

have not been investigated, therefore, and based on other studies (Doherty and Frazier, 1998; Dzikiti *et al*, 2003; MacDougall *et al*, 2009), a loading dose of 3 mg kg⁻¹ was used in this study.

is secondary to a peripheral anti-hyperalgic action on

somatic pain and central on neuropathic pain, which

Sedation was detected after IV lidocaine in dogs and human (Shim *et al*, 2002; Szmuk *et al*, 2007; MacDougall *et al*, 2009), and ataxia was also detected in horses (Solìs and McKenzie, 2007). In this study, deep to moderate sedation was shown after lidocaine administration in 5 and 10 minutes, followed by mild sedation towards 40 minutes. Moreover, and by 30 seconds to 1 minutes after lidocaine administration, three camels went immediately to lateral recumbency, and remained recumbent laterally for the next 7-9 minutes.

Pain is a multifactorial entity, and cannot be studied by a single method, therefore, studies using more than one type of nociceptive stimulus present more information relevant to clinical pain and analgesia (Steagall *et al*, 2007; Millette *et al*, 2008).

Mechanical, thermal, and electrical stimuli are the most commonly used methods in preclinical experimental assessment of nociception (Love *et al*, 2011). Antinociception was assessed in this study by application of artery forceps, a method used frequently to assess absence of response to a noxious stimulus (Docquier *et al*, 2004; Prado *et al*, 2008; Ajadi *et al*, 2009). Although this method is subjective, error was reduced by the two blinded assessors, who were familiar with the camel's normal behaviour, and responsible for assessing response to the nociceptive stimulus throughout the study.

The analgesic effect of IV lidocaine in conscious animals is controversial (Vesal *et al*, 2011). IV lidocaine had no effect on nociceptive thresholds in dogs or cats (Pypendop *et al*, 2006; MacDougall *et al*, 2009), but provided antinociception in horses (Murrell *et al*, 2005). Several studies have shown that lidocaine administration significantly decreases inhalant requirements (Muir *et al*, 2003; Doherty *et al*, 2007; Vesal *et al*, 2011; Mannarino *et al*, 2012), and decreased post-operative analgesic requirements (Torfs *et al*, 2009) with benefits similar to morphine (Smith *et al*, 2002). During this study, the peak effect of nociceptive thresholds was reported at 5 minutes after IV lidocaine administration, then gradually decreased in next time points, but still significantly observed at 60 minutes when compared to baseline. The nociceptive thresholds recorded in this study is higher than thresholds reported in previous studies (Murrell *et al*, 2005; MacDougall *et al*, 2009).

Mean heart rate, respiratory rate, and temperature after IV lidocaine in this study were not different from baseline, which is in agreement with previous studies in dogs (Kapur et al, 1988; Leone et al, 1988; Chandler et al, 2006) and horses (Dzikiti et al, 2003; Murrell et al, 2005; Malone et al, 2006). However, an increase in heart rate was reported after lidocaine administration in horses (Torfs et al, 2009), and dogs (Nunes et al, 1998), but remained within a clinically acceptable range, and that was referred to a very high concentrations of lidocaine used at their study. In contrast, Pypendop and Ilkiw (2005) found, in anaesthetised cat, that heart rate was lower after lidocaine administration. Mean ABP measurement in this study increased but not significantly after lidocaine administration at 5,10 and 20 minutes. This is similar with other results reported in dogs (Hashimoto et al, 1985; Nunes et al, 1998; MacDougall et al, 2009) that showed marginal but not significant increase in blood pressure measurements during IV lidocaine administration. However, the increase of mean ABP measurement in this study remained within clinically acceptable limits. Arterial catheterisation is problematical in this species, due to their thick skin and muscle layers, and so monitoring direct arterial blood pressure measurement and arterial blood gases has not been done in this study. The method of indirect oscillometry for blood pressure measurement used in this study provides useful information in most horses, but may produce erroneous values in a small number (Hall et al, 2001). In the current study, there was no significant difference in haematological or biochemical parameters after lidocaine administration. However, most parameters obtained were within the normal range of camels (Mohri et al, 2008; Hussein et al, 2012).

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that IV lidocaine provided antinociception and sedation, coupled with minimal cardiovascular effects in camels. However, further work needs to be done with evaluation of other cardiorespiratory parameters, including invasive blood pressure measurements, blood gas analysis and continuous capnography recording. Moreover, investigating pharmacokinetics of lidocaine with different IV loading doses and continuous rate infusions used for prolonged duration, with identifying blood serum concentrations which resulted in clinical sign of intoxication, is necessary to establish its use and safety in camels.

Acknowledgement

The author gratefully acknowledges Dr. M Alkhalifah, and Dr. K Abursais for the blind assessment and assistance in data collection. Prof. M Mandoor for the statistical analysis. Deanship of Scientific Research in King Faisal University for the financial support.

References

- Ajadi AR, Olusa TA, Smith OF, Ajibola ES, Adeleye OE, Adenubi OT and Makinde FA (2009). Tramadol improved the efficacy of ketamine-xylazine anaesthesia in young pigs. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 36:562-566.
- Chandler JC, Monnet E, and Staatz AJ (2006). Comparison of acute hemodynamic effects of lidocaine and procainamide for postoperative ventricular arrhythmias in dogs. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association 42:262-268.
- Dehkordi SH, Bigham-Sadegh A, and Gerami R (2012). Evaluation of anti-nociceptive effect of epidural tramadol, tramadol-lidocaine and lidocaine in goats. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 39:106-110.
- Docquier MA, Lavand'homme P, Boulanger V, Collet V and De Kock M (2004). Questioning the cardiocirculatory excitatory effects of opioids under volatile anaesthesia. British Journal of Anaesthesia 93(3):408-13.
- Doherty TJ and Frazier DL (1998). Effect of intravenous lidocaine on halothane minimum alveolar concentration in ponies. Equine Veterinary Journal 30(4):300-3.
- Doherty T, Redua MA, Queiroz-Castro P, Egger C, Cox SK, and Rohrbach BW (2007). Effect of intravenous lidocaine and ketamine on the minimum alveolar concentration of isoflurane in goats. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 34:125-131.
- Dzikiti TB, Hellebrekers LJ and Van Dijk P (2003). Effects of intravenous lidocaine on isoflurane concentration, physiological parameters, metabolic parameters and stress-related hormones in horses undergoing surgery. Journal of Veterinary Medicine Series A-Physiology Pathology Clinical Medicine 50(4):190-5.
- Hall LW, Clarke KW and Trim CM (2001) Patient monitoring and clinical measurement. In: Veterinary Anaesthesia. (10th Edn) Hall LW, Clarke KW, Trim CM (eds). W.B. Saunders, London, UK. pp 43.
- Hashimoto K, Shibuya T and Imai S (1985). Cardiovascular and respiratory effects of antiarrhythmic drugs on conscious beagles. Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine 145:359-367.
- Hussein MF, ElNabi GA, Aljarf AN, Aljumaah RS and Bakhiet AO (2012). Variation of the platelet indices

of dromedary camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) with age, sex and breed. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(19):4478-4483.

- Kapur PA, Grogan DL and Fournier DJ (1988). Cardiovascular interactions of lidocaine with verapamil or diltiazem in the dog. Anesthesiology 68:79-85.
- Lauretti GR (2008). Mechanisms of analgesia of intravenous lidocaine. Rev Bras Anestesiol 58(3):280-6.
- Leone BJ, Lehot JJ, Runciman WB, Welding RN, Ramsay JG, Arvieux CC, Ryder WA and Foëx P (1988). Effects of lignocaine and bupivacaine on regional myocardial function and coronary blood flow in anaesthetised dogs. British Journal of Anaesthesia 60:671-679.
- Love EJ, Murrell J and Whay HR (2011). Thermal and mechanical nociceptive threshold testing in horses: a review. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 38:3-14.
- MacDougall LM, Hethey JA, Livingston A, Clark C, Shmon CL and Duke-Novakovski T (2009). Antinociceptive, cardiopulmonary, and sedative effects of five intravenous infusion rates of lidocaine in conscious dogs. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 36:512-522.
- Malone E, Ensink J, Turner T, Wilson J, Andrews F, Keegan K and Lumsden J (2006). Intravenous Continuous Infusion of Lidocaine for Treatment of Equine Ileus. Veterinary Surgery 35:60-66.
- Mannarino R, Luna SP, Monteiro ER, Beier SL and Castro VB (2012). Minimum infusion rate and hemodynamic effects of propofol, propofol-lidocaine and propofollidocaine-ketamine in dogs. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 39:160-173.
- Marzok M and El-Khodery S (2009). Sedative and analgesic effects of romifidine in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 36:352-360.
- Millette VM, Steagall PV, Duke-Novakovski T and Livingston AJ (2008). Effects of meperidine or saline on thermal, mechanical and electrical nociceptive thresholds in cats. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 35:543-547.
- Mohri M, Moosaviana HR and Hadian MJ (2008). Plasmabiochemistry of one-humped camel (*Camelus dromedarius*): Effects of anticoagulants and comparison with serum. Research in Veterinary Science 85:3554-3558.
- Muir WW, Wiese AJ, and March PA (2003). Effects of morphine, lidocaine, ketamine, and morphine-lidocaine-ketamine drug combination on minimum alveolar concentration in dogs anesthetized with isoflurane. American Journal of Veterinary Research 64:1155-1160.
- Murrell JC, White KL, Johnson CB, Taylor PM, Doherty TJ and Waterman-Pearson AE (2005). Investigation of the EEG effects of intravenous lidocaine during halothane anaesthesia in ponies. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 32:212-221.

- Nunes de Moraes A, Dyson DH, O'Grady MR, McDonell WN and Holmberg DL (1998). Plasma concentrations and cardiovascular influence of lidocaine infusions during isoflurane anesthesia in healthy dogs and dogs with subaortic stenosis. Veterinary Surgery 27:486-497.
- Prado TM, Doherty TJ, Boggan EB, Airasmaa HM, Martin-Jimenez T and Rohrbach BW (2008). Effects of acepromazine and butorphanol on tiletaminezolazepam anesthesia in llamas. American Journal of Veterinary Research 69(2):182-8.
- Pypendop BH and Ilkiw JE (2005). Assessment of the hemodynamic effects of lidocaine administered IV in isoflurane-anesthetised cats. American Journal of Veterinary Research 66(4):661-8.
- Pypendop BH, Ilkiw JE, and Robertson SA (2006). Effects of intravenous administration of lidocaine on the thermal threshold in cats. American Journal of Veterinary Research 67:16-20.
- Shim KD, Lee JS, Shim YH, Jung JH and Nam SB (2002). Sedative Effect and Cardiovascular Stability of Lidocaine during Endotracheal Intubation under Bispectral Index (BIS) Monitoring. Korean Journal of Anaesthesiology 42(2):161-166.
- Smith LJ, Bentley E, Shih A and Miller PE (2004). Systemic lidocaine infusion as an analgesic for intraocular surgery in dogs: a pilot study. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 31:53-63.
- Smith LJ, Shih A, Miletic G and Vjekoslav Mileticb (2002). Continual systemic infusion of lidocaine provides analgesia in an animal model of neuropathic pain. Pain 97:267-273.
- Solis ND and McKenzie HC (2007). Serum concentrations of lidocaine and is metabolites MEGX and GX during and after prolonged intravenous infusion of lidocaine in horses after colic surgery. Journal of Equine Veterinary Science 27:398-404.
- Steagall PV, Taylor PM, Brondani JT, Luna SP, Dixon MJ and Ferreira TH (2007). Effects of buprenorphine, carprofen and saline on thermal and mechanical nociceptive thresholds in cats. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 34:344-350.
- Szmuk P, Farrow-Gillespie A, Sheeran P and Tiberiu Ezri (2007). The Sedative Effect of High Dose Lidocaine. Anaesthesia and Analgesia 104(6):1613-1613.
- Torfs S, Delesalle C, Dewulf J, Devisscher L and Deprez P (2009). Risk factors for equine postoperative ileus and effectiveness of prophylactic lidocaine. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine 23(3):606-11.
- Vesal N, Spadavecchia C, Steiner A, Kirscher F and Levionnois OL (2011). Evaluation of the isoflurane-sparing effects of lidocaine infusion during umbilical surgery in calves. Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia 38:451-460.